## **EXHIBIT**

4

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

STATE OF TEXAS,

Plaintiff,

•

v.

Docket No. CA 11-1303

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, et al.,

. Washington, D.C. January 19, 2012

. 2:00 p.m.

Defendants.

.

AFTERNOON SESSION - DAY 3
TRANSCRIPT OF MOTION HEARING PROCEEDINGS
BEFORE THE HONORABLE THOMAS B. GRIFFITH,
UNITED STATES CIRCUIT JUDGE, and
HONORABLES ROSEMARY M. COLLYER and BERYL A. HOWELL,
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGES

## APPEARANCES:

For the Plaintiff:

MATHEW H. FREDRICK, Special Counsel STACEY NAPIER, Senior Counsel to the Attorney General J. REED CLAY, Senior Counsel to the Attorney General ANGELA V. COLMENERO, Assistant Attorney General Office of the Attorney General of Texas P.O. Box 12548 Austin, TX 78711-2548

ADAM K. MORTARA, Esq.
ASHLEY C. KELLER, Esq.
JOHN M. HUGES, Esq.
Bartlit, beck, Herman, Palenchar &
Scott, LLP
Courthouse Place
54 West Hubbard Street
Chicago, IL 60610

- 03:08PM | remember --
- 03:08PM  $\angle$  A. Oh, yeah. We had a meeting on April 13th at 9:30 a.m. in
- 03:08PM 3 the speaker's dining room, which is this room right off of his
- 03:08PM  $\,^4$  office, and -- to particularly discuss 24, 25.
- 03:08PM 5 **Q.** Who attended this meeting?
- 03:08PM O A. Most of the members of the Harris County delegation, and
- 03:08PM / so, you know, Ms. Woolley, Mr. Callegari, myself and other
- 03:08PM 8 members of the Harris County delegation.
- 03:08PM 9 Q. And who called this meeting?
- 03:08PM 10 A. This meeting was called by Ms. Woolley, Mr. Callegari,
- 03:08PM  $\overline{I}$  and requested by Ms. Alvarado.
- 03:08PM 12 Q. What was the atmosphere of the minority members that
- 03:08PM 13 attended this meeting?
- 03:08PM  $14\,$  A. First of all, it was very tense, and, you know -- and
- 03:08PM 15 people were angry because the process wasn't followed, and it
- 03:09PM 16 was -- you have to think about, this is late in the session, in
- 03:09PM 17 the morning, 9:30, before we went on the floor. And this was a
- $_{
  m 03:09PM}$  18 very, very, very tense meeting.
- 03:09PM 19 Q. At this meeting, did you raise the objections that you
- 03:09PM 20 referenced in your letter just now?
- 03:09PM 21 A. Yes, I did. I raised them to the whole delegation and
- 03:09PM 22 directly to Ms. Woolley.
- 03:09PM  $23\,$  **Q.** And just for the benefit of the Court, what were those
- 03:09PM 24 objections, again?
- 03:09PM 25 A. The objections were the pairing of Mr. Vo and

- 03:09PM f I Mr. Hochberg, and that, in my thoughts -- what I know about some
- 03:09PM 2 of this stuff, that it violated the Voting Rights Act in terms
- 03:09PM 3 of removing the district, and also going from 25 to 24 was in
- 03:09PM 4 particular -- both were issues. And the process changed.
- 03:09PM 5 Q. Let me ask you, did you raise your objection to
- 03:10PM 6 Representative Woolley at all?
- 03:10PM / A. Oh, yes, I did. I mean directly to Representative
- 03:10PM 8 Woolley. I continued to raise an objection to Representative
- 03:10PM 9 Woolley. But in that meeting directly, me and Ms. Woolley
- 03:10PM 10 talking directly with each other, loud, in front of the whole
- 03:10PM II room.
- $03:10PM \ 12 \ Q.$  How did the conversation end?
- 03:10PM 13 A. It ended this way. Ms. Woolley said to me, she said, "If
- 03:10PM 14 we had 25 seats" -- first, she said, "Y'all's districts are
- 03:10PM 15 protected." And in the context of that, she was looking at me,
- 03:10PM 16 saying -- and the group of members, "Y'all's districts are
- 03:10PM 17 protected. If we have 25 seats, the districts that --
- 03:10PM 18 basically, that we represent would disappear, based on the
- 03:10PM 19 reduction in the number of Anglos across Harris County."
- 03:10PM 20 And she was very, very clear about that. And I was taken
- 03:10PM 21 aback, because, you know, again, this directly affected
- 03:11PM 22 districts of color currently that were protected, and might in
- 03:11PM 23 the future.
- o3:11PM 24 JUDGE COLLYER: I'm not sure I understood. When she said,
- 03:11PM 25 "Y'all's districts are protected, and" -- was she saying, in

 $oldsymbol{\mathsf{I}}$  essence, "The districts of minorities are protected. 03:11PM white. Our districts are not protected. If there are 25 seats, 3 we won't be here"? 03:11PM THE WITNESS: Basically, yes, because the way it works out 03:11PM 5 is the people that were sitting in that room -- already two 6 minority districts, one was being taken away. But as you -- as 03:11PM / everybody knows, the growth in Harris County, the Latino growth -- and over the decade, if the Latino growth continues the way it is, there won't be any Anglo districts left -- Anglo 03:12PM  $\overline{10}$  republican districts left. And in the context, she was saying -- and this is the way 03:12PM m I2 I saw it -- that your district is going to be there, Garnet, 03:12PM 13 because you're protected under the Voting Rights Act, you and the 03:12PM 14 rest of "y'all's" districts are going to be there, including O3:12PM 15 Scott Hochberg, who is not of color, but he was representing 03:12PM 16 District 137, which was protected, in that -- because of that, 03:12PM  $\Gamma/$  the population growth, the districts that republicans -- the 03:12PM 18 republicans and Anglos represent will go away. 03:12PM I 9 JUDGE COLLYER: Had the population in Harris County grown 03:12PM 20 or shrunk over the last decade? 03:12PM 2 I THE WITNESS: Grown. JUDGE COLLYER: So why did it go from 25 to 24 districts? THE WITNESS: Well, I don't think it should have. The 03:12PM 24 percentage -- well, the percentage of districts in 2001 in the

Legislative Redistricting Board plan, it was like a .0- -- it was

- 03:28PM | A. I -- I don't. I was reacting to the context of what I
- 03:28PM 2 believed her to mean.
- 03:28PM  $\mathcal{S}$  Q. I'm putting on the screen your declaration that you filed
- 03:29PM  $\,^4$  in this matter not too long ago, paragraphs 10 and 11. And it
- 03:29PM 5 says:
- 03:29PM 6 "During that meeting, Representative Woolley told a group
- 03:29PM 7 of minority representatives that she had drawn the map that she
- 03:29PM 8 had because of, in approximately her words, "You all are
- $03:29 exttt{PM}$  protected by the Voting Rights Act and we are not."
- 03:29PM 10 Is this a description of the conversation you testified
- 03:29PM  $\overline{I}$  about on direct?
- 03:29PM 12 A. It's a description of the conversation that I testified
- 03:29PM 13 about, and what she basically said. I mean, she said -- that's
- 03:29PM 14 what she said.
- 03:29PM 15 Q. Mr. Coleman, as a matter of fact, Representative Woolley
- 03:29PM 16 never used the word "Anglo" or "white," did she?
- 03:29PM 17 A. No, she didn't. And that's in the deposition.
- 03:29PM  $18\,$  Q. And the statement that Ms. Woolley is making, that you're
- 03:29PM 19 here reporting in your declaration, that you talked about on
- $_{
  m 03:29PM}\,20$  direct -- "You all are protected by the Voting Rights Act and we
- 03:29PM 21 are not" -- that's a true statement, correct?
- 03:29PM 22 A. Yes, that is a true statement.
- $03:29PM \stackrel{2}{\sim} 3$  Q. Are there any republicans in Harris County that are not
- 03:29PM 24 Anglos?
- 03.29PM 25 A. No.